香港賽馬會藥物資訊天地

Hong Kong Jockey Club Drug Info Centre

A Study on

Psychotropic Substance Abusers



Narcotics Division
Government Secretariat
Government of the Hong Kong
Special Administrative Region

A study of psychotropic substance abusers



362.29 NAR





CONTENTS

			Page
1.	INTRODUCTIO	N	1
2.	SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS	2
3.	CHARACTERIS SUBSTANCE A	STICS OF PSYCHOTROPIC BUSERS	5
4.	TAKING THE N	STICS OF SINGLE DRUG ABUSERS MAJOR TYPES OF IC SUBSTANCES	10
5.	CHARACTERIS ABUSERS	STICS OF MULTIPLE DRUG	17
6.		OF THE CHARACTERISTICS IGLE DRUG ABUSERS AND RUG ABUSERS	21
	Appendix I:	Statistics on Psychotropic Substance Abusers Reported in 1997	
	Appendix II:	Statistics on Single Drug Abusers Taking the Major Types of Psychotropic Substances in 1997	
	Appendix III:	Statistics on Psychotropic Substance Abusers Reported in 1997 by Type	

1. INTRODUCTION

Objectives

- 1.1 The objectives of the study are as follows:
 - a) To provide a better portrait of the abuse pattern of psychotropic substance abusers, including their previous reporting history; and
 - b) To identify the socio-demographic characteristics of psychotropic substance abusers.

Coverage of study

1.2 The study covers the psychotropic substance abusers reported to the Central Registry of Drug Abuse (CRDA) in 1997. In total, 3 486 psychotropic substance abusers are selected for inclusion in the study.

The analyses

1.3 The main analysis confines to psychotropic substance abusers reported in 1997. Separate analyses are performed on the characteristics of single drug abusers who had abused the major types of psychotropic substances, such as cannabis and methylamphetamine (ice), and of multiple drug abusers who had abused more than one type of drugs including non-psychotropic substances. Detailed statistics for the analyses are shown in Appendices I - III.

Limitations of the drug abuse statistics

As reporting to the CRDA is made through the reporting agencies on a voluntary basis, the CRDA can only record those who have come into contact with and been reported by these agencies. The drug abuse statistics presented in this report are hence based on the information available to the CRDA which is inevitably incomplete. Care should be taken when interpreting the findings of the report such that the statistics should be taken as indicators of the characteristics of reported abusers of psychotropic substances in Hong Kong.

2. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- 2.1 The characteristics of drug abusers taking psychotropic substances in 1997 can be summarised as follows:
 - a) Cannabis, triazolam, methylamphetamine and cough medicine were the psychotropic substances commonly abused in 1997. It was found that except psychotropic substances, about 40% of drug abusers had also abused heroin. Among multiple drug abusers, more than 80% took a combination of two types of drug. The most common drugs taken in combination by these drug abusers were heroin and triazolam.
 - b) The majority of drug abusers were males (84.2%) and in the age bracket 16 25 (53.0%). Single drug abusers were relatively younger than multiple drug abusers, with the mean age of 22.3 compared with 29.1 for the latter. As for the four groups of single drug abusers taking the major types of psychotropic substances, the mean age of organic solvent abusers (15.6) was lower than those for the other three groups (21.7 to 22.6).
 - c) The majority of drug abusers were never married (81.8%) and had received lower secondary or above education (80.7%). These characteristics were more commonly found in single drug abusers when compared with multiple drug abusers.
 - d) Kwun Tong was the district of residence with the greatest proportion of drug abusers, whether taking single or multiple drugs. As for the four groups of single drug abusers, the greatest proportions of drug abusers were found in different districts, including Kwun Tong, Central & Western, Tai Po and North.
 - e) The majority (62.9%) of drug abusers were found to live in public and aided rental blocks. Private housing (25.6%) was the next common living quarters for drug abusers.
 - f) More than half of the drug abusers were employed, either as fulltime workers or casual/part-time workers. Most of them were building and construction workers, restaurant service workers or transport labourers. It was found that about two-thirds of single drug abusers were employed, as against less than half for multiple

drug abusers. Except for organic solvent abusers, the majority of those taking cannabis, methylamphetamine (ice) or cough medicine were employed (56.4% to 73.8%). It was found that some 20% of organic solvent abusers were employed and nearly half were students (47.6%).

- g) The majority of drug abusers were previously convicted. The proportion of multiple drug abusers (85.1%) having previous convictions was significantly higher than that for single drug abusers (54.5%). As regards the four groups of single drug abusers, the proportion of organic solvent abusers (26.7%) having previous convictions was much lower than those for the other three groups, ranging from 52.9% to 59.7%.
- The reasons for current drug use varied among multiple drug abusers. Of which, the commonly reported ones were curiosity (44.7%), avoid discomfort of its absence (43.5%) and peer influence (42.6%). For single drug abusers, their main reason for drug use was peer influence.
- over 70% of drug abusers took drugs for the first time at the age below 20. Multiple drug abusers started abusing drugs at an earlier age than single drug abusers, with the mean age of first abuse at 16.5 compared with 19.4 for the latter. Compared the mean age of first abuse among the four groups of single drug abusers, it was noted that abusers taking organic solvent (13.4) tended to start abusing the drug earlier, followed by those taking cough medicine (18.7), methylamphetamine (19.1) and cannabis (20.0).
- j) In comparison, multiple drug abusers had a longer duration of drug use than single drug abusers. It was found that more than half of the multiple drug abusers had abused drugs for 10 years or more, as against less than 10% for single drug abusers.
- More than half (53.0%) of the drug abusers spent \$100 to \$299 on drugs per day. Comparatively, multiple drug abusers spent more money than single drug abusers. The average daily expenditure on drug abuse for the former was \$209.4 while that for the latter was \$94.2. Among the four groups of single drug abusers, the average daily expenditure for organic solvent abusers (\$8.5) was much lower than those for the other three groups, ranging from

\$56.8 to \$149.9.

- Multiple drug abusers had a longer reporting history than single drug abusers. It was found that more than 90% of multiple drug abusers had been reported to the CRDA more than once, in comparison with less than half (42.6%) for single drug abusers. The average length of period since the first report had been submitted for multiple drug abusers (9.2 years) was much longer than that for single drug abusers (3.3 years). As regards the four groups of single drug abusers, a longer period after the first report was observed in the abusers taking cannabis (3.5 years) or cough medicine (3.2 years) than in those taking methylamphetamine (2.4 years) or organic solvent (1.1 years).
- m) The majority (76.8%) of drug abusers had been reported by the Police. For multiple drug abusers, it was found that besides the Police (84.5%), greater proportions of them had been reported by the Correctional Services Department (CSD), methadone clinics and the Society for the Aid and Rehabilitation of Drug Abusers (SARDA), ranging from 41.0% to 73.8%. As regards the four groups of single drug abusers, over 90% of organic solvent abusers had been reported by the outreaching and youth services teams while most in the other three groups (58.4% to 79.0%) had been reported by the Police.

3. CHARACTERISTICS OF PSYCHOTROPIC SUBSTANCE ABUSERS

Personal characteristics

Sex and age

- The abuse of psychotropic substances was more prevalent among males. In 1997, 84.2% of the reported psychotropic substance abusers were males, as against 15.8% females. But the proportion of female substance abusers was much higher in the young age group (i.e. below the age of 21), at 23.6% in 1997.
- 3.2 Analysed by age, more than half (53.0%) of psychotropic substance abusers were in the age bracket 16-25. As for young female abusers, larger proportions were observed in the ages between 15 and 17, ranging from 15.9% to 18.9%.
- 3.3 The mean age of psychotropic substance abusers of all ages was 25.6 while that of young female abusers was 16.6.

Marital status

Over 80% of psychotropic substance abusers were never married. As for young female abusers, 94.0% of them were never married.

Educational attainment

- 3.5 64.3% of psychotropic substance abusers had received lower secondary education. A greater proportion (80.7%) of them had attained lower secondary or above standard.
- 3.6 Young female abusers were noted to have received more education, with 75.7% attaining lower secondary standard and 96.3% attaining lower secondary or above standard.

District of residence

- 3.7 Analysed by district of residence, larger proportions of psychotropic substance abusers were observed, according to size, in Kwun Tong (14.3%), Tai Po (8.6%), Wong Tai Sin (8.5%) and Southern (7.9%).
- For young female abusers, the district of residence with the greatest proportion of these persons was Tai Po (21.7%), followed by Yuen Long (11.4%), North (10.4%) and Kwun Tong (10.4%).

Type of living quarters

- Most of the psychotropic substance abusers were found to live in public and aided rental blocks (62.9%), followed by private housing (25.6%).
- 3.10 Similarly, most of the young female abusers were found to live in public and aided rental blocks (65.1%) and private housing (24.2%).

Employment status

- 3.11 More than half (56.1%) of the psychotropic substance abusers were employed, either as full-time workers or casual/part-time workers, while a large proportion (37.0%) of them were unemployed.
- For young female abusers, the proportion of persons who were unemployed (40.8%), was greater than those employed (32.1%). It was noted that about one-quarter (23.7%) of these drug abusers were students.

Previous conviction

- 3.13 Only 30.4% of psychotropic substance abusers had no previous convictions. Of those previously convicted, most were involved in both drug-related and other offences.
- 3.14 About 70% of young female abusers had no previous convictions and most of those who were previously convicted had committed offences not involving in drugs.

Drug abuse characteristics

Type of drug abused

- 3.15 Of the reported psychotropic substance abusers, 38.8% had abused heroin, 38.0% cannabis, 24.3% triazolam, 24.1% methylamphetamine (ice) and 13.0% cough medicine. Only a small proportion had abused flunitrazepam (3.6%) and MDMA (Ecstasy) (1.9%).
- Nearly half (49.0%) of the young female abusers were found to have abused methylamphetamine (ice) and a significant proportion had abused such drugs as cannabis (34.8%), heroin (18.5%) and organic solvent (14.6%). It was noted that about 5% of young female abusers had abused MDMA (Ecstasy).

Reason for current drug use

- 3.17 Peer influence (47.3%) was the main reason cited by psychotropic substance abusers for current drug use, followed by curiosity (39.9%), to avoid discomfort of its absence (31.9%) and to seek euphoria or sensory satisfaction (31.5%).
- 3.18 Over 60% of young female abusers claimed they were under peer influence to take drugs. Other common reasons reported for drug use were relief of boredom (47.7%), curiosity (43.8%) and to seek euphoria or sensory satisfaction (37.0%).

Age of first abuse

- 3.19 Over 70% of psychotropic substance abusers started abusing drugs at the age of 19 or below. The mean age of first abuse for psychotropic substance abusers was 17.9.
- For young female abusers, more than half (54.9%) of them started drug abuse at the age between 13 and 15. The mean age of first abuse for young female abusers was 14.7.

Duration of drug use

- 3.21 Nearly half (49.2%) of the psychotropic substance abusers had taken drugs for less than 5 years. Some 30% had taken drugs for 10 years or more. The average duration of drug use for these drug abusers was 8.5 years.
- For young female abusers, 62.2% had taken drugs for less than 3 years. The average duration of drug use for this group of drug abusers was 2.2 years.

Usual daily expenditure on drug abuse

- 3.23 Of the reported psychotropic substance abusers, more than half (53.0%) spent between \$100 \$299 a day on drugs. On average, they spent \$184.6 daily on drugs.
- For young female abusers, more than half (54.3%) spent less than \$100 a day on drugs. Their average daily expenditure on drugs was \$132.2.

Report history to the CRDA

Time since first reported

- Two-thirds of psychotropic substance abusers had been reported to the CRDA more than once. Of these persons, 18.4% had been reported for less than 1 year since submission of their first report, 36.3% for 1 4 years, 12.3% for 5 9 years and 32.9% for 10 years or more. The average length of period since they had been first reported to the CRDA was 7.2 years.
- For young female abusers, about half of them had been reported to the CRDA more than once. Among them, 52.0% had their first report submitted less than 1 year ago, 42.7% had been reported for 1 4 years and 5.3% for 5 9 years. The average length of period since they had been first reported to the CRDA was 1.4 years.

Agency ever contacted

3.27 The majority of psychotropic substance abusers were reported by the Police (76.8%). Other common reporting agencies were CSD (45.2%), methadone clinics (35.5%), outreaching and youth services teams (23.4%) and

SARDA (21.8%).

3.28 For young female abusers, most of them were reported by the outreaching and youth services teams (63.9%). The Police (36.1%) and CSD (16.6%) were also the common reporting agencies of these drug abusers.

Agency first contacted

- 3.29 More than half (52.9%) of the psychotropic substance abusers were reported to the CRDA for the first time by the Police. Other agencies that substance abusers were commonly first reported were the outreaching and youth services teams (21.3%) and methadone clinics (14.1%).
- 3.30 Of the young female abusers, over 60% had been first reported by the outreaching and youth services teams. The Police (28.8%) was the next agency that these drug abusers were first reported most.

4. CHARACTERISTICS OF SINGLE DRUG ABUSERS TAKING THE MAJOR TYPES OF PSYCHOTROPIC SUBSTANCES

Distribution by type of drugs abused

4.1 Of the 3 486 reported drug abusers under study, 51.2% (1 785) took only one type of psychotropic substances in 1997. The following shows the distribution of these single drug abusers by major type of drug abused:

Type of drug abused		No.	<u>%</u>
Cannabis		866	48.5
Methylamphetamine (ice)		421	23.6
Cough medicine		279	15.6
Organic solvent		85	4.8
Other drugs		134	7.5
	Total	1 785	100.0

Analyses in the ensuing paragraphs confine to four groups of single drug abusers taking the commonly abused psychotropic substances, namely cannabis, methylamphetamine, cough medicine and organic solvent. These persons constituted 92.5% of the total single drug abusers in this study.

Personal characteristics

Sex and age

- Males more than females were more likely to abuse psychotropic substances. About 90% of the abusers of cannabis or cough medicine were males. The corresponding percentages for the abusers of methylamphetamine or organic solvent were 67.2% and 65.9% respectively.
- 4.3 Except for organic solvent abusers, about 66% to 74% of psychotropic substance abusers were in the age bracket 16 25. For organic solvent abusers, a great majority (98.8%) of them were aged under 21.
- 4.4 Compared the mean age among the four groups of single drug abusers, organic solvent abusers (15.6) were found to be younger than abusers

in the other three groups (21.7 to 22.6).

Marital status

4.5 The four groups of psychotropic substance abusers exhibited similar distribution pattern of their marital status, with more than 85% never married.

Educational attainment

4.6 Of the four groups of psychotropic substance abusers, more than 90% had attained lower secondary or above standard.

District of residence

Analysed by district of residence, Kwun Tong (9.0%) was the district with the greatest proportion of persons taking cannabis while Central & Western (11.5%) was the district with the greatest proportion of persons taking methylamphetamine. Both Kwun Tong (11.1%) and Tai Po (11.1%) were the districts of residence with the greatest proportion of persons taking cough medicine. As for those persons taking organic solvent, most of them were known to live in North (38.6%) and Tai Po (37.3%).

Type of living quarters

The percentage distribution by type of living quarters among the four groups of psychotropic substance abusers was quite similar. The majority of these drug abusers were found to live in public and aided rental blocks. Comparatively, the percentage (87.7%) of organic solvent abusers living in public and aided rental blocks was significantly higher than those (50.4% - 64.9%) for the other three groups. It was noted that a large proportion (40.1%) of methylamphetamine abusers lived in private housing, as compared with 6.2% to 29.0% for those in the other three groups.

Employment status

Except for those persons taking organic solvent, more than half of the psychotropic substance abusers in the other three groups were employed, either as full-time workers or causal/part-time workers. It was noted that nearly half (47.6%) of the organic solvent abusers were students and 31.0% of them were unemployed, as against 21.4% employed.

Previous conviction

4.10 Except for those persons taking organic solvent, more than half of the psychotropic substance abusers in the other three groups had previous conviction records. For organic solvent abusers, less than 30% of them were previously convicted. Among those drug abusers who were previously convicted, most were not involved in drug-related offences.

Drug abuse characteristics

Reason for current drug use

4.11 Peer influence was the main reason reported by different groups of psychotropic substance abusers for current drug use. Other commonly reported reasons included relief of boredom, curiosity and to seek euphoria or sensory satisfaction.

Age of first abuse

About 70% of the abusers of cannabis, methylamphetamine or cough medicine took drugs for the first time at the age between 15 and 24. Of those persons taking organic solvent, 85.2% first took drugs under the age of 15. The mean age of first abuse for this group of drug abusers (13.4) was lower than those for the other three groups (18.7 to 20.0).

Duration of drug use

4.13 For abusers of cannabis, methylamphetamine or cough medicine, most (47.3% to 65.2%) had taken drugs for less than 3 years. About 14% to 25% of these drug abusers had taken drugs for 7 years or more. In respect of those persons taking organic solvent, three-quarters of them had taken drugs for 1 - 4 years and none of them had taken drugs for longer than 6 years. The

average duration of drug use for cough medicine abusers (4.0 years) was longer than those for the other three groups of drug abusers, ranging from 2.6 years to 3.2 years.

Usual daily expenditure on drug abuse

4.14 Except for methylamphetamine abusers, the majority of psychotropic substance abusers spent less than \$100 a day on drugs. For methylamphetamine abusers, more than half of them spent \$100 - \$299 daily on drugs. In comparison, organic solvent abusers spent least money on drugs while methylamphetamine abusers spent most money on drugs. The average daily expenditure on drugs was \$8.5 for the former and \$149.9 for the latter.

Reporting history to the CRDA

Time since first reported

4.15 For abusers of methylamphetamine or organic solvent, more than half of them had been reported to the CRDA more than once. Among them, more than half had their first report submitted less than 2 years ago. As regards abusers of cannabis or cough medicine, less than half of them had been reported to the CRDA more than once. Of these abusers, about 60% had their first report submitted less than 3 years ago. The average length of period since submission of the first report was 1.1 years for organic solvent abusers, as compared with 2.4 years to 3.5 years for the other three groups of drug abusers.

Other drugs abused previously

4.16 Among the four groups of psychotropic substance abusers, about 7% to 33% had previously abused drugs other than those they took in 1997. Of these drugs, the most commonly abused were heroin and cannabis.

Agency ever contacted

4.17 Except for organic solvent abusers, the majority of psychotropic substance abusers were reported by the Police. The outreaching and youth service teams (over 20%) were another common agency that reported drug abusers. For organic solvent abusers, the agency that they were reported most was the outreaching and youth services teams (92.9%).

Agency first contacted

Except those persons taking organic solvent, most of the different groups of psychotropic substance abusers, ranging from 49.8% to 74.1%, were first identified by the Police. The next agency that these drug abusers were first commonly reported was the outreaching and youth services teams (21.0% to 28.5%). For organic solvent abusers, a great majority (91.8%) of them had been first reported by the outreaching and youth services teams.

Comparison of the characteristics among the different groups of psychotropic substance abusers

Similar characteristics

- 4.19 Among the four groups of psychotropic substance abusers, some characteristics were found to be similar and these are given below:
 - a) The majority of psychotropic substance abusers were males, ranging from 65.9 % to 89.6%.
 - b) Over 85% of psychotropic substance abusers were never married.
 - c) A great majority (over 90%) of psychotropic substance abusers had received lower secondary or above education.
 - d) More substance abusers were found to live in public and aided rental blocks (50.4% to 87.7%) than in private housing (6.2% to 40.1%).
 - e) The main reason reported by psychotropic substance abusers for their current drug use was peer influence (48.8% to 82.1%).
 - f) A smaller proportion (7.1% to 32.5%) of psychotropic substance abusers had previously abused drugs other than those they took in 1997. Among these drugs, the most commonly abused were heroin and cannabis.

Different characteristics

- 4.20 Some of the characteristics found to be different among the different groups of the psychotropic substance abusers are given below:
 - a) Persons taking organic solvent were relatively younger, with 98.8% aged under 21 against 40.9% to 50.8% for the other three groups of drug abusers.
 - b) Kwun Tong was the district of residence with the greatest proportion of reported persons taking cannabis while Central & Western was the district for those persons taking methylamphetamine. Both Kwun Tong and Tai Po were the districts of residence with the greatest proportion of reported persons taking cough medicines. As for those persons taking organic solvent, the greatest proportion was found in North.
 - Except for those persons taking organic solvent, more than half (56.4% to 73.8%) of the psychotropic substance abusers were employed. For organic solvent abusers, less than one-quarter (21.4%) of them were employed. It was noted that approximately half (47.6%) of these persons were students.
 - d) More than half of the persons taking cannabis (52.9%), methylamphetamine (59.7%) or cough medicine (54.9%) had previous convictions, as compared with less than 30% for those taking organic solvent.
 - e) Persons taking organic solvent started drug abuse earlier than those taking other psychotropic substances. Among the four groups of drug abusers, the mean age of first abuse was lowest (13.4) for organic solvent abusers and highest (20.0) for cannabis abusers.
 - f) Persons taking cough medicine were found to have a longer drug use history, with an average duration of drug use for 4.0 years compared with 2.6 years to 3.2 years for the other three groups of drug abusers.
 - g) Organic solvent abusers spent less money on drugs than the other three groups of psychotropic substance abusers. The average

daily expenditure on drugs for organic solvent abusers was \$8.5, as compared with \$56.8 to \$149.9 for other psychotropic substance abusers.

- h) Among the psychotropic substance abusers who had reported to the CRDA more than once, more than half of the abusers taking organic solvent (87.0%) or methylamphetamine (52.7%) were found to have reported for less than 2 years since submission of their first report, as compared with less than half for those taking cannabis (46.2%) or cough medicine (37.0%)
- The majority of abusers taking cannabis, methylamphetamine or cough medicine, ranging from 58.4% to 79.0%, were reported to the CRDA by the Police. For those taking organic solvent, over 90% of them were reported by the outreaching and youth services teams.

5. CHARACTERISTICS OF MULTIPLE DRUG ABUSERS

Distribution by combination of drugs abused

Among the 3 486 reported drug abusers under study, 48.8% (1 701) took more than one type of drug in 1997. The following shows the distribution of these multiple drug abusers by combination of drugs taken:

Combination of drugs taken

<u>%</u>

No.

1. No. of abusers taking two types of drug

1 429 84.0

Major combinations of drugs taken

- a) Heroin and triazolam (47.0%)
- b) Heroin and methylamphetamine (9.6%)
- c) Methylamphetamine and cannabis (8.7%)
- d) Heroin and cannabis (8.0%)
- e) Heroin and midazolam (7.7%)
- 2. No. of abusers taking three types of drug

226 13.3

Major combinations of drugs taken

- a) Heroin, triazolam and midazolam (25.2%)
- b) Heroin, methylamphetamine and cannabis (11.5%)
- c) Heroin, flunitrazepam and triazolam (4.9%)
- d) Methylamphetamine, cannabis and cough medicine (3.5%)
- e) Heroin, cannabis and cough medicine (3.1%)

- 3. No. of abusers taking four types of drug
- 4. No. of abusers taking five or more types of drug 8 0.5

Total 1 701 100.0

38

2.2

More than 80% of multiple drug abusers took two types of drug in 1997. The most common drugs taken in combination by these drug abusers were heroin and triazolam. It was noted that less than 1% of multiple drug abusers took five types of drug or more.

Personal characteristics

Sex and age

Of the multiple drug abusers, over 85% were males. Analysed by age group, slightly more than one-quarter were aged under 21. For those aged 21 and over, more than 60% were in the age bracket 21 - 35. The mean age of the multiple drug abusers was 29.1.

Marital status and educational attainment

Among the multiple drug abusers, about three-quarters were never married and more than two-thirds had received lower secondary or above education.

District of residence

Analysed by district of residence, the greatest proportion of multiple drug abusers was found in Kwun Tong (19.9%), followed by Wong Tai Sin (10.8%) and Sham Shui Po (9.1%).

Type of living quarters

About 70% of multiple drug abusers were found to live in public and aided rental blocks, as compared with 21.1% in private housing.

Employment status

5.7 Among the multiple drug abusers, more were unemployed (49.9%) than employed (46.4%), either as full-time workers or casual/part-time workers.

Previous conviction

5.8 85.1% of multiple drug abusers were found to have previous convictions. Among them, more than 60% were involved in both drug-related and other offences.

Drug abuse characteristics

Reason for current drug use

The most common reasons reported by multiple drug abusers for current drug use were curiosity (44.7%), to avoid discomfort of its absence (43.5%), peer influence (42.6%) and to seek euphoria or sensory satisfaction (32.9%).

Age of first abuse

5.10 More than half (51.6%) of the multiple drug abusers took drugs for the first time at the age between 15 - 19. About one-third started abusing drugs under 15. The mean age of first abuse for multiple drug abusers was 16.5.

Duration of drug use

Over half (52.8%) of the multiple drug abusers had taken drugs for 10 years or more. The average duration of drug use for multiple drug abusers was 13.3 years.

Usual daily expenditure on drug abuse

5.12 It was found that 60.0% of multiple drug abusers spent between \$100 - \$299 a day on drugs. The average daily expenditure on drug abuse for multiple drug abusers was \$209.4.

Reporting history to the CRDA

Time since first reported

Over 90% of multiple drug abusers had been reported to the CRDA more than once. Among them, 13.4% had their first report submitted less than 1 year ago, 28.0% had theirs submitted 1 - 4 years ago, 12.8% 5 - 9 years ago and 45.8% 10 years or more ago. The average length of period since the multiple drug abusers had been first reported to the CRDA was 9.2 years.

Agency ever contacted

The majority of multiple drug abusers were reported by the Police, CSD and methadone clinics. The proportions of drug abusers reported by these agencies were respectively 84.5%, 73.8% and 66.9%. It was noted that a large proportion (41.0%) of multiple drug abusers had been reported by the SARDA for treatment.

Agency first contacted

The agency that multiple drug abusers had been first reported most was the Police (43.6%), followed by methadone clinics (26.4%) and the outreaching and youth services teams (15.7%).

6. COMPARISON OF THE CHARACTERISTICS BETWEEN SINGLE DRUG ABUSERS AND MULTIPLE DRUG ABUSERS

- 6.1 In comparison of the characteristics between single and multiple drug abusers, some similarities were detected as follows:
 - a) The majority of drug abusers were male and never married.
 - More than two-thirds of drug abusers had received lower secondary or above education, but the proportion of those receiving less education (primary or lower standard) was higher for multiple drug abusers (31.9%) than single drug abusers (7.4%).
 - c) Kwun Tong was the district of residence with the greatest proportion of drug abusers. More than half of the drug abusers were known to live in public and aided rental blocks.
 - d) Most of the drug abusers took drugs for the first time at the age between 15 19.
 - The majority of drug abusers were reported by the Police. For multiple drug abusers, it was noted that besides the Police (84.5%), most of them were also reported by the CSD (73.8%) and methadone clinics (66.9%).
- On the other hand, there were differences in the characteristics between the two categories of drug abusers, as given below:
 - a) Single drug abusers were relatively younger, with 46.7% aged under 21 compared with 26.3% for multiple drug abusers.
 - b) About two-thirds of single drug abusers were employed while less than half of the multiple drug abusers were employed.

- Proportionally more single drug abusers (45.5%) were found to have no previous convictions than multiple drug abusers (14.9%). Among those who were previously convicted, more multiple drug abusers were involved in drug-related offences than single drug abusers.
- d) The main reason for single drug abusers to take drugs was peer influence (56.1%) while the main reasons for multiple drug abusers included curiosity (44.7%), avoid discomfort of its absence (43.5%) and peer influence (42.6%).
- e) Multiple drug abusers were found to have a longer duration of drug use, with 52.8% having taken drugs for ten years or more in comparison with 8.5% for single drug abusers.
- f) Comparatively, multiple drug abusers spent more money on drugs. 60.0% of them spent about \$100 \$299 a day on drugs while 63.3% of single drug abusers spent less than \$100 per day.
- g) Single drug abusers were found to have a shorter time lag since they had been first reported to the CRDA, with, on average, a period of 3.3 years compared with 9.2 years for multiple drug abusers.

Report-1

Appendix I

Statistics on Psychotropic Substance Abusers Reported in 1997

		Aged u	nder 21		All	ages
Variables under study	Fe	male	Both	n sexes		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
I. Personal and Drug Abuse Characteristics						
(a) Sex						
Male Female	N.A. 302	N.A. 100.0	978 302	76.4 23.6	2935 551	84.2 15.8
Total	302	100.0	1280	100.0	3486	100.0
(b1) Age group (under 21)						
Under 15 15 16 17 18 19 20 Total Mean age	46 50 57 48 30 35 36 302	15.2 16.6 18.9 15.9 9.9 11.6 11.9	99 165 194 218 197 192 215 1280	7.7 12.9 15.2 17.0 15.4 15.0 16.8		
(b2) Age group (all ages)						
Under 16 16 - 20 21 - 25 26 - 30 31 - 35 36 - 40 41 - 45 46 - 50 51 & over			,		264 1016 831 477 361 220 194 82 41	7.6 29.1 23.8 13.7 10.4 6.3 5.6 2.4 1.2
Total					3486	100.0
Mean age					25.6	

		Aged	l under 21			All ages
Variables under study		Female	В	oth sexes		815
	No	. %	No.		No.	%
(c) Marital status					-	
Never married	281	94.0				
Married/Cohabiting	16	5.4	1229	96.7	2838	81.8
Widowed		5.4	39	3.1	473	13.6
Divorced/Separated	2	0.7	3	0.2	5	0.1
Total	299	100.0		0.2	155	4.5
	299	100.0	1271	100.0	3471	100.0
(d) Educational attainment						
No schooling/Kindergarten						
Primary	11	2 7	4	0.3	44	1.3
Lower secondary	224	3.7	57	4.5	623	18.0
Upper secondary	60	75.7 20.3	1014	79.9	2219	64.3
Tertiary	1	0.3	193	15.2	538	15.6
	•	0.3	1	0.1	28	0.8
Total	296	100.0	1269	100.0	3452	
District of residence					3432	100.0
Hong Kong				- 1		
Central & Western	13	4.3				
Wan Chai	5	1.7	67	5.4	118	3.5
Eastern	6	2.0	13	1.0	57	1.7
Southern	18	6.0	35	2.8	223	6.5
Kowloon		0.0	111	8.9	270	7.9
Yau Tsim Mong	9	3.0	39	2.		
Sham Shui Po	14	4.7	43	3.1	167	4.9
Kowloon City Wong Tai Sin	18	6.0	37	3.5	231	6.8
Kwun Tong	8	2.7	57	3.0	146	4.3
Kwuii Tong	31	10.4	127	4.6 10.2	291	8.5
				10.2	488	14.3

	Aged under 21					
Variables under study	Fe	male	Botl	h sexes		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
New Territories and Islands						
Kwai Tsing	7	2.3	65	5.2	168	4.9
Tsuen Wan	4	1.3	27	2.2	77	2.3
Tuen Mun	20	6.7	64	5.2	161	4.7
Yuen Long	34	11.4	106	8.5	202	5.9
North	31	10.4	134	10.8	178	5.2
Tai Po	65	21.7	202	1,6.3	293	8.6
Sha Tin	8	2.7	58	4.7	214	6.3
Sai Kung	7	2.3	42	3.4	101	3.0
Islands	1	0.3	15	1.2	30	0.9
Total	299	100.0	1242	100.0	3415	100.0
Type of living quarters						
Public & aided rental	194	65.1	814	65.8	2142	62.9
blocks						
Public sector home	13	4.4	55	4.4	131	3.8
ownership estates						
Private housing	72	24.2	289	23.4	870	25.6
Housing Authority	-	-	5	0.4	19	0.6
temporary housing						
Squatter huts	3	1.0	9	0.7	26	0.8
Other housing	16	5.4	65	5.3	215	6.3
Total	298	100.0	1237	100.0	3403	100.0
Employment status			,			
Full-time worker	65	21.7	499	39.5	1708	49.7
Casual/Part-time worker	31	10.4	94	7.4	220	6.4
Worker in illicit trade	-		7	0.6	10	0.3
Unemployed	122	40.8	460	36.4	1274	37.0
Home-maker	1	0.3	1	0.1	17	0.5
Student	71	23.7	182	14.4	185	5.4
Retired	-	-	-		3	0.1
Others	9	3.0	21	1.7	22	0.6
Total	299	100.0	1264	100.0	3439	100.0

		Aged	under 21		A	ll ages
Variables under study	F	emale	Во	th sexes		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
(h) Previous conviction						
Yes, drug-related offences	26	9.3	108	9.1	164	12.0
Yes, other offences	43	15.4	348	29.4	464	13.8
Yes, both drug-related and other offences	11	3.9	113	9.6	688 1176	20.4 34.9
Yes, offences unknown	4	1.4	0	0.0		
No	196	70.0	9 605	0.8 51.1	16 1024	0.5 30.4
Total	280	100.0	1183	100.0	3368	100.0
i) Type of drug abused *						
Heroin	56	18.5	250	19.5	1252	20.0
Physeptone/Methadone	1	0.3	4	0.3	1352	38.8
Cocaine		-	2	0.3	16	0.5
MDMA	16	5.3	48	3.8	15	0.4
Methylamphetamine	148	49.0	415	32.4	65	1.9
Methaqualone	2	0.7	6	0.5	839	24.1
Diazepam	2	0.7	6	0.5	14	0.4
Flunitrazepam	10	3.3	46	3.6	29	0.8
Triazolam	16	5.3	64	5.0	125	3.6
Midazolam	1	0.3	11		846	24.3
Cannabis	105	34.8	628	0.9	194	5.6
Cough medicine	23	7.6	213	49.1	1324	38.0
Organic solvent	44	14.6	138	16.6 10.8	453	13.0
			136	10.8	139	4.0
Overall	302	100.0	1280	100.0	3486	100.0

		Aged u	nder 21		All ages			
Variables under study	Fe	male	Both	n sexes				
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
j) Reported reason for current drug use *								
Curiosity	103	43.8	352	37.7	930	39.9		
Peer influence/To identify with peers	149	63.4	672	72.0	1104	47.3		
Relief of boredom/ Depression/Anxiety	112	47.7	365	39.1	639	27.4		
For self-medication	3	1.3	12	1.3	71	3.0		
Avoid discomfort of its absence	28	11.9	151	16.2	744	31.9		
To seek euphoria or sensory satisfaction	87	37.0	279	29.9	734	31.5		
Under influence of the partner	10	4.3	16	1.7	22	0.9		
Other reasons	11	4.7	36	3.9	66	2.8		
Overall	235	100.0	933	100.0	2332	100.0		
k1) Age of first abuse (under 21)								
Under 13	31	13.3	87	8.2				
13	42	18.0	137	13.0				
14	46	19.7	208	19.7				
15	40	17.2	178	16.9				
16 17	19	8.2	148 114	14.0 10.8				
18	29 12	12.4 5.2	79	7.5				
19	9	3.9	* 57	5.4				
20	5	2.1	48	4.5				
Total	233	100.0	1056	100.0				
Mean age of first abuse	14.7		15.3					
Mean age of first abuse	14./		15.3					

		Aged	d under 21			All ages
Variables under study		Female	В	oth sexes		J
	No.	%	No.		No.	%
(k2) Age of first abuse (all ages)						
Under 15 15 - 19 20 - 24 25 - 29 30 - 34 35 - 39 40 & over Total Mean age of first abuse Less than 1 year 1 - 2 years 3 - 4 years 5 - 6 years 7 - 9 years 10 - 14 years 15 - 19 years 20 - 29 years 30 years or more Total Average duration (years)	49 96 55 25 8 - - - 233 2.2	21.0 41.2 23.6 10.7 3.4	232 371 295 123 34 1 - - 1056 2.3	22.0 35.1 27.9 11.6 3.2 0.1	803 1491 599 211 82 18 14 3218 17.9 480 650 452 314 320 231 317 299 155 3218 8.5	25.0 46.3 18.6 6.6 2.5 0.6 0.4 100.0

		Aged u	nder 21		All ages		
Variables under study	Fe	male	Both	n sexes			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Usual daily expenditure on drug abuse (\$)							
Under 50 50 - 99	35 15	38.0 16.3	168 92	34.9 19.1	238 214	14.2 12.8	
100 - 199 200 - 299	18 9	19.6 9.8	111 49	23.0 10.2	509 381	30.3 22.3	
400 - 499	2	2.2	9	1.9	61	12.3 3.6 4.1	
Total	92	100.0	482	100.0	1678	100.0	
Average expenditure (\$)	132.2		121.4		184.6		
Reporting History to the CRDA							
Time since first reported #							
Less than 1 year 1 - less than 2 years 2 - less than 3 years 3 - less than 4 years 4 - less than 5 years 5 - less than 10 years 10 - less than 20 years 20 years or more	78 35 14 12 3 8	52.0 23.3 9.3 8.0 2.0 5.3	296 172 102 82 33 20	42.0 24.4 14.5 11.6 4.7 2.8	427 271 198 208 164 285 582 181	18.4 11.7 8.5 9.0 7. 12.2 25.	
Total	150	100.0	705	100.0	2316	100.0	
Mean time lag (years)	1.4		1.6		7.2		
	Usual daily expenditure on drug abuse (\$) Under 50 50 - 99 100 - 199 200 - 299 300 - 399 400 - 499 500 and over Total Average expenditure (\$) Reporting History to the CRDA Time since first reported # Less than 1 year 1 - less than 2 years 2 - less than 3 years 3 - less than 4 years 4 - less than 5 years 5 - less than 10 years 10 - less than 20 years 20 years or more	Usual daily expenditure on drug abuse (\$) Under 50 50 - 99 100 - 199 200 - 299 300 - 399 400 - 499 500 and over Total Average expenditure (\$) 132.2 Reporting History to the CRDA Time since first reported # Less than 1 year 1 - less than 2 years 2 - less than 3 years 3 - less than 4 years 4 - less than 5 years 5 - less than 10 years 10 - less than 20 years 20 years or more Total	Variables under study Female No. % Usual daily expenditure on drug abuse (\$) Under 50 50 - 99 15 16.3 100 - 199 200 - 299 9 9 300 - 399 8 8 7 400 - 499 2 2 2 2 500 and over Total Personant of the CRDA Time since first reported # Less than 1 year 1 - less than 2 years 3 - less than 4 years 4 - less than 5 years 5 - less than 10 years 10 - less than 20 years 20 years or more Total Page 100.0	Usual daily expenditure on drug abuse (\$) Under 50	Variables under study Female No. % No. % No. % Usual daily expenditure on drug abuse (\$) Under 50 50 - 99 15 16.3 92 19.1 100 - 199 18 19.6 111 23.0 200 - 299 9 9.8 49 10.2 300 - 399 8 8.7 41 8.5 400 - 499 2 2.2 9 1.9 500 and over 5 5.4 12 2.5 Total 92 100.0 482 100.0 Average expenditure (\$) 132.2 121.4 Reporting History to the CRDA Time since first reported # Less than 1 year 1 - less than 2 years 3 - less than 3 years 1 4 9.3 102 14.5 3 - less than 4 years 1 2 8.0 82 11.6 4 - less than 5 years 3 2.0 33 4.7 5 - less than 10 years 8 5.3 20 2.8 10 - less than 20 years 20 years or more Total 150 100.0 705 100.0	Variables under study Female No. Both sexes No. % No. % Usual daily expenditure on drug abuse (\$) 35 38.0 168 34.9 238 50 - 99 15 16.3 92 19.1 214 100 - 199 18 19.6 111 23.0 509 200 - 299 9 9.8 49 10.2 381 300 - 399 8 8.7 41 8.5 206 400 - 499 2 2.2 9 1.9 61 500 and over 5 5.4 12 2.5 69 Total 92 100.0 482 100.0 1678 Average expenditure (\$) 132.2 121.4 184.6 Reporting History to the CRDA 132.2 121.4 184.6 Less than 1 year 78 52.0 296 42.0 427 1 - less than 2 years 35 23.3 172 24.4 271	

Appendix I

		Age	ed under 21			All ages
Variables under study	300	Female	В	oth sexes		agos
	N	0. %	No.	. %	6 N	0. %
(o) Agency ever contacted *						
Police CSD Methadone clinics SARDA SRO PS33 St. Stephen's Society Wu Oi Christian Centre Other treatment agencies SWD Outreaching and youth services Hospital and clinics Overall	109 50 24 13 6 2 11 13 13 193 6	16.6 4 7.9 3 4.3 - 2.0 0.7 3.6 4.3 4.3	612 284 143 55 3 31 13 38 83 75 693 21	47.8 22.2 11.2 4.3 0.2 2.4 1.0 3.0 6.5 5.9 54.1 1.6	1576	45.2 45.2 7 35.5 21.8 8.1 3.4 6.7 8.2 8.8 10.2
(p) Agency first contacted						100.0
Police CSD Methadone clinics SARDA SRO PS33 St. Stephen's Society Wu Oi Christian Centre Other treatment agencies SWD Outreaching and youth services Hospital and clinics Total	87 5 6 1 - 3 1 3 3 2 188 3	28.8 1.7 2.0 0.3 1.0 0.3 1.0 1.0 0.7 62.3 1.0	482 37 37 9 1 13 2 5 16 11 660 7	37.7 2.9 2.9 0.7 0.1 1.0 0.2 0.4 1.3 0.9 51.6 0.5	1845 124 492 99 10 51 7 22 41 23 743 29	52.9 3.6 14.1 2.8 0.3 1.5 0.2 0.6 1.2 0.7 21.3 0.8
1 0121	302	100.0	1280	100.0	3486	100.0

Notes: All figures are based on reports with the specific characteristic known.

CSD: Correctional Services Department
SARDA: The Society for the Aid and Rehabilitation of Drug Abusers
SRO: The Society for the Rehabilitation of Offenders, Hong Kong
PS33: Hong Kong Christian Service PS33
SWD: Social Welfare Department
N.A.: Not applicable

* : Multiple answers are allowed

* : Multiple answers are allowed.

: Psychotropic substance abusers who had been reported to the CRDA only once are excluded.

Statistics on Single Drug Abusers Taking the Major Types of Psychotropic Substances in 1997

	Variables under study	Canı	nabis	Methylamphetamine			ugh icine	Organic Solven		
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
[.	Personal and Drug Abuse Characteristics									
a)	Sex									
	Male Female	776 90	89.6 10.4	283 138	67.2 32.8	249 30	89.2 10.8	56 29	65.9 34.1	
	Total	866	100.0	421	100.0	279	100.0	85	100.0	
(b)	Age group									
	Under 16 16 - 20 21 - 25 26 - 30 31 - 35 36 - 40 41 - 45 46 - 50 51 & over	74 307 261 131 63 22 5 1	8.5 35.5 30.1 15.1 7.3 2.5 0.6 0.1 0.2	42 172 122 48 24 6 7	10.0 40.9 29.0 11.4 5.7 1.4 1.7	10 104 102 46 10 2 2 2	3.6 37.3 36.6 16.5 3.6 0.7 0.7 0.7	49 35 - - 1 - -	57.6 41.2 - - 1.2 -	
	Total	866	100.0	421	100.0	279	100.0	85	100.0	
	Mean age	22.5		21.7		22.6		15.6		
(c)	Marital status				÷					
	Never married Married/Cohabiting Divorced/Separated	758 86 19	87.8 10.0 2.2	363 50 8	86.2 11.9 1.9	252 22 3	91.0 7.9 1.1	83 2 -	97.6 2.4	
	Total	863	100.0	421	100.0	277	100.0	85	100.0	

Variables under study	C	annabis	Methy	lamphetam	ine		ough dicine %	1	anic Solvent
(d) Educational attainment					+	110.	% 0	N	0. %
No schooling/Kindergarten Primary Lower secondary Upper secondary Tertiary Total	6 70 575 199 13	8.1	2 18 292 93 7	4.4		2 9 199 61 3	0.7 3.3 72.6 22.3 1.1	79	
(e) District of residence						274	100.0	85	100.0
Hong Kong Central & Western Wan Chai Eastern Southern Kowloon Yau Tsim Mong Sham Shui Po Kowloon City Wong Tai Sin Kwun Tong New Territories and Islands Kwai Tsing Tsuen Wan Tuen Mun Yuen Long North Tai Po Sha Tin Sai Kung Islands Total	44 54 46	2.3 3.0 4.3 7.4 6.7 5.1 5.0 6.9 9.0 8.3 3.1 6.4 8.0 5.8 5.2 6.4 5.5 1.5	47 7 27 31 33 19 29 18 25 18 7 14 22 24 40 33 9 7	11.5 1.7 6.6 7.6 8.0 4.6 7.1 4.4 6.1 4.4 1.7 3.4 5.4 5.9 9.8 8.0 2.2 1.7	1 1 1 30 21 18 18 30 23 3 2	9 0 8 0 11 5 5 7 6	1 5 1 7	31 3	3.6 - - 3.6 3.6 7.2 38.6 37.3 1.2 - -

Va	riables under study		nabis		nphetamine	Med	ugh licine	Organio	c Solvent
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
f) Typ	pe of living quarters								
	blic & aided rental blocks	455	53.8	206	50.4	176	64.9	71	87.7
	blic sector home ownership estates	48	5.7	11	2.7	9	3.3	3	3.7
	vate housing using Authority	245	29.0 0.5	164	40.1 0.5	71 1	26.2 0.4	5	6.2
te	emporary housing					•	0.4		
	uatter huts her housing	9 85	1.1 10.0	5 21	1.2 5.1	14	5.2	2	2.5
Tot		846	100.0	409	100.0		100.0		100.0
10		0.0	100.0	105	100.0	271	100.0		100.0
g) Em	nployment status								
	ll-time worker	610	70.6	208	50.4	162	59.3	14	16.7
	sual/Part-time worker orker in illicit trade	28	3.2 0.2	25	6.1 0.5	12 1	4.4 0.4	4	4.8
	employed	159	18.4	139	33.7	83	30.4	26	31.0
	me-maker	-	-	1	0.2	2	0.7	-	-
	ident	62	7.2	32	7.7	10	3.7	40	47.6
	tired hers	3	0.3	6	1.5	3	1.1	-	-
								-	-
To	tal	864	100.0	413	100.0	273	100.0	84	100.0
h) Pre	evious conviction								
Ye	s, drug-related offences	53	6.3	53	13,4	31	11.6	-	-
	s, other offences	233	27.7	104	26.3	77	28.7	20	26.7
	s, both drug-related and other offences	154	18.3	78	19.7	35	13.1	-	-
	s, offences unknown	4	0.5	1	0.3	4	1.5	-	-
No		396	47.1	159	40.3	121	45.1	55	73.3
To	tal	840	100.0	395	100.0	268	100.0	75	100.0

Appendix II

			T		T		T	
Variables under study	Ca	nnabis	Methy	lamphetamin		Cough edicine	Organ	nic Solvent
	No	. %	No	. %	No		No	
(i) Reported reason for current drug use *								
Curiosity Peer influence/To identify with peers Relief of boredom/ Depression/Anxiety For self-medication	93 166 81	55.9 27.3	85 119 70	52.9	48 81 73	28.9 48.8 44.0	13 69 47	82.1
Avoid discomfort of its absence	12	0.7 4.0	5 15	2.2 6.7	12 49	7.2 29.5	2 6	2.4 7.1
To seek euphoria or sensory satisfaction Under influence of	76	25.6	74	32.9	46	27.7	24	28.6
the partner Other reasons	3	0.7	7 9	3.1 4.0	-	-	1	1.2
Overall	297	100.0	225	100.0	10 166	6.0	2 84	2.4
Under 15 15 - 19 20 - 24 25 - 29 30 - 34 35 - 39 40 & over Total Mean age of first abuse	96 303 215 96 40 10 1 761	12.6 39.8 28.3 12.6 5.3 1.3 0.1	66 161 96 28 17 2 3 3 373	17.7 43.2 25.7 7.5 4.6 0.5 0.8 100.0	44 121 64 23 5 - 3 260 1	16.9 46.5 24.6 8.8 1.9 - 1.2	52 8 - - 1 - 61 13.4	85.2 13.1 - - 1.6 -

riables under study	Cani	nabis	Methylan	nphetamine		_	Organio	Solven
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
uration of drug use								
ss than 1 year 2 years 4 years 6 years 9 years - 14 years - 19 years - 29 years	242 254 107 52 54 31 14	31.8 33.4 14.1 6.8 7.1 4.1 1.8	100 113 60 39 34 18 6	26.8 30.3 16.1 10.5 9.1 4.8 1.6 0.8	55 68 49 23 44 17 3	21.2 26.2 18.8 8.8 16.9 6.5 1.2	7 23 23 8 -	11.5 37.7 37.7 13.1
years or more	3	0.4	-	-	1	0.4	-	-
otal	761	100.0	373	100.0	260	100.0	61	100.0
verage duration (years)	2.9		3.2		4.0		2.6	
sual daily expenditure on rug abuse (\$)								
nder 50 1 - 99 10 - 199 10 - 299 10 - 399 10 - 499 10 and over	37 27 12 2 2 3 4	42.5 31.0 13.8 2.3 2.3 3.4 4.6	14 19 38 21 15 2	12.6 17.1 34.2 18.9 13.5 1.8	57 36 17 1 1	50.9 32.1 15.2 0.9 0.9	26 - - - - -	100.0
otal	87	100.0	111	100.0	112	100.0	26	100.0
verage expenditure (\$)	91.8		149.9	*	56.8		8.5	
	ration of drug use ss than 1 year 2 years 4 years 6 years 9 years - 14 years - 19 years - 29 years years or more tal rerage duration (years) ual daily expenditure on rug abuse (\$) nder 50 - 99 0 - 199 0 - 299 0 - 399 0 - 499 0 and over	No. No. No.	ration of drug use ss than 1 year 2 years 2 years 4 years 6 years 9 years - 14 years - 19 years - 29 years years or more tal rerage duration (years) adder 50 - 99 0 - 199 0 - 199 0 - 299 0 - 399 0 - 499 0 and over ration of drug use 242 31.8 254 33.4 107 14.1 18 18 14 1.8 18 16 17 100.0 2.9 3 3.4 42.5 27 31.0 37 42.5 27 31.0 37 42.5 27 31.0 37 42.5 37 42.5 38 39 30 40 40 30 31 40 40 40 87 100.0	No. % No. ration of drug use ss than 1 year 2 years 4 years 5 6 8 39 9 years -14 years -14 years 107 14.1 86 -19 years -29 years 4 0.5 3 0.4 - tal 761 100.0 373 rerage duration (years) adder 50 -99 2 -199 2 -199 3 -199 3 -199 3 -299 3 -299 3 -299 3 -299 3 -399 3 -499 4 -466 3 -499 4 -466 3 -499 4 -466 3 -499 4 -466 3 -499 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489 4 -466 4 -489	No. % No. % ration of drug use ss than 1 year 2 years 2 years 2 years 3 107 14.1 60 16.1 6 years 9 years 52 6.8 39 10.5 9 years -14 years -19 years -19 years -19 years -19 years -19 years -10 14 1.8 6 1.6 -29 years -10 15 3 0.8 years or more -14 100.0 373 100.0 rerage duration (years) 2.9 3.2 ual daily expenditure on rug abuse (\$) deer 50 37 42.5 14 12.6 -99 27 31.0 19 17.1 0 -199 12 13.8 38 34.2 0 -299 2 2.3 21 18.9 0 -399 2 2.3 15 13.5 0 -499 3 3.4 2 1.8 0 and over 4 4.6 2 1.8 87 100.0 111 100.0	ration of drug use ss than 1 year 242 31.8 100 26.8 55 2 years 254 33.4 113 30.3 68 4 years 107 14.1 60 16.1 49 6 years 52 6.8 39 10.5 23 9 years 54 7.1 34 9.1 44 - 14 years 14 1.8 6 1.6 3 - 29 years 4 0.5 3 0.8 - 29 years 7 30.4 1 tal 761 100.0 373 100.0 260 and over 4 4.6 2 1.8 - and over 12 87 100.0 111 100.0 112	No. % No. % No. % No. % No. %	Cannabis Methylamphetamine Medicine No. % No

Variables under study	Cannabis	Methylampheta	,	Organic Solvent
II. Reporting History to the CRDA			No. %	No. %
(m) Time since first reported #				
Less than 1 year 1 - less than 2 years 2 - less than 3 years 3 - less than 4 years 4 - less than 5 years 5 - less than 10 years 10 - less than 20 years 20 years or more Total Mean time lag (years) 1) Type of other drugs abused previously *	88 30.1 47 16.1 37 12.7 35 12.0 24 8.2 35 12.0 24 8.2 2 0.7 292 100.0 3.5	1 /0 .14.)	45 10.3	21 45.7 19 41.3 5 10.9 1 2.2 46 100.0
Heroin Physeptone/Methadone Methylamphetamine Cocaine Methaqualone Cannabis Brotizolam Flunitrazepam Flurazepam Triazolam Cough medicine Organic solvent Midazolam Overall	60 58.3 1 1.0 12 11.7 1 1.0 1 1.0 N.A. N.A. 1 1.0 19 18.4 1 1.0 4 3.9 23 22.3 103 100.0	85 62.0 3 2.2 N.A. N.A. 1 0.7 6 4.4 59 43.1 1 0.7 15 10.9 5 3.6 17 12.4 5 3.6 1 0.7 137 100.0	26 40.6 1 1.6 5 7.8 1 1.6 5 7.8 30 46.9 1 1.6 10 15.6 - 2 3.1 N.A. N.A. 3 4.7 1 1.6 64 100.0	2 33.3 1 16.7 2 33.3 1 16.7

	Variables under study	Can	nabis	Methylar	nphetamine		ugh licine	Organio	: Solvent
	res	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
(0)	Agency ever contacted *								
	Police	684	79.0	296	70.3	163	58.4	1	1.2
	CSD	91	10.5	135	32.1	55	19.7	1	1.2
	Methadone clinics	25	2.9	33	7.8	15	5.4	-	-
	SARDA	17	2.0	19	4.5	15	5.4	-	-
	SRO	1	0.1	2	0.5	-	-	-	-
	PS33	12	1.4	15	3.6	38	13.6	1	1.2
	St. Stephen's Society	1	0.1	7	1.7	2	0.7	-	-
	Wu Oi Christian Centre	2	0.2	7	1.7	4	1.4	1	1.2
	Other treatment agencies	5	0.6	16	3.8	18	6.5	3	3.5
	SWD	25	2.9	28	6.7	10	3.6	-	-
	Outreaching and youth services	186	21.5	129	30.6	72	25.8	79	92.9
	Hospital and clinics	1	0.1	11	2.6	14	5.0	3	3.5
	Overall	866	100.0	421	100.0	279	100.0	85	100.0
(p)	Agency first contacted								
	Police	642	74.1	249	59.1	139	49.8	-	-
	CSD	15	1.7	16	3.8	15	5.4	1	1.2
	Methadone clinics	10	1.2	15	3.6	4	1.4	-	-
	SARDA	1	0.1	6	1.4	5	1.8	-	-
	SRO	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	PS33	3	0.3	3	0.7	25	9.0	- 1	1.2
	St. Stephen's Society	1	0.1	2	0.5	-	-	-	-
	Wu Oi Christian Centre	-	-	3	0.7	-	-	1	1.2
	Other treatment agencies	3	0.3	2	0.5	10	3.6	2	2.4
	SWD	7	0.8	1	0.2	1	0.4	1-1	-
	Outreaching and youth services	182	21.0	120	28.5	69	24.7	78	91.8
	Hospital and clinics	1	0.1	4	1.0	11	3.9	2	2.4
	Total	866	100.0	421	100.0	279	100.0	85	100.0

Notes: All figures are based on reports with the specific characteristic known.

CSD: Correctional Services Department

SARDA: The Society for the Aid and Rehabilitation of Drug Abusers SRO: The Society for the Rehabilitation of Offenders, Hong Kong

PS33: Hong Kong Christian Service PS33

SWD: Social Welfare Department

N.A.: Not applicable

* : Multiple answers are allowed.

#: Psychotropic substance abusers who had been reported to the CRDA only once are excluded.

- : Nil

Statistics on Psychotropic Substance Abusers Reported in 1997 by Type

Variables under study	Multip	ole drug abusers	Singl	e drug abusers
	No.	%	No.	%
Personal and Drug Abuse Characteristics				
(a) Sex				
Male Female	1473 228	86.6	1462	81.9
Total	1701	13.4	323 1785	18.1
(b) Age group			-	100.0
Under 16 16 - 20 21 - 25 26 - 30 31 - 35 36 - 40 41 - 45 46 - 50 51 & over Total Mean age	77 370 313 231 246 182 173 75 34 1701	4.5 21.8 18.4 13.6 14.5 10.7 10.2 4.4 2.0	187 646 518 246 115 38 21 7 7	10.5 36.2 29.0 13.8 6.4 2.1 1.2 0.4 0.4
Never married Married/Cohabiting Widowed Divorced/Separated Total	1281 288 5 117 1691	75.8 17.0 0.3 6.9	1557 185 - 38 1780	87.5 10.4 - 2.1 100.0

	Multiple	drug abusers	Single drug abusers		
Variables under study	No.	%	No.	%	
l) Educational attainment					
No schooling/Kindergarten	32	1.9	12	0.7	
Primary	505	30.0	118	6.7	
Lower secondary	985	58.5	1234	69.8	
Upper secondary	159	9.4	379	21.4	
Tertiary	4	0.2	24	1.4	
Total	1685	100.0	1767	100.0	
e) District of residence				e"	
Hong Kong					
Central & Western	36	2.1	82	4.7	
Wan Chai	20	1.2	37	2.1	
Eastern	136	8.1	87	5.0	
Southern	142	8.4	128	7.4	
Kowloon	142	0.1	120		
Yau Tsim Mong	59	3.5	108	6.2	
Sham Shui Po	153	9.1	78	4.5	
Kowloon City	56	3.3	90	5.2	
Wong Tai Sin	182	10.8	109	6.3	
Kwun Tong	334	19.9	154	8.9	
New Territories and Islands	331	17.7		0.7	
Kwai Tsing	53	3.2	115	6.6	
Tsuen Wan	32	1.9	45	2.6	
Tuen Mun	61	3.6	100	5.8	
Yuen Long	83	4.9	119	6.9	
North	45	2.7	133	7.7	
Tai Po	144	8.6	149	8.6	
Sha Tin	97	5.8	117	6.7	
Sai Kung	41	2.4	60	3.5	
Islands	7	0.4	23	1.3	
Total	1681	100.0	1734	100.0	

No. 1153 56 351 12 11 83	% 69.2 3.4 21.1 0.7 0.7	No. 989 75 519 7	drug abusers % 56.9 4.3
56 351 12	3.4 21.1 0.7	75 519	
56 351 12	3.4 21.1 0.7	75 519	
351 12 11	21.1	519	4.3
12 11	0.7		
12 11	0.7		
11		7	29.9
		/	0.4
	0.7		
03	5 A	15	0.9
	5.0	132	7.6
1666	100.0	1737	100.0
	37.8	1075	60.9
	8.6		4.3
4	0.2		
834	49.9		0.3
12	0.7		24.9
34			0.3
2			8.6
10		-	0.1
	0.0	12	0.7
1673	100.0	1766	100.0
318	10.1		
			8.6
			27.5
671	52.4	305	17.9
6	0.4		
		10	0.6
247	14.9	777	45.5
1661	100.0	1707	100.0
	12 34 2 10 1673 318 219 871 6 247	144 8.6 4 0.2 834 49.9 12 0.7 34 2.0 2 0.1 10 0.6 1673 100.0 318 19.1 219 13.2 871 52.4 6 0.4 247 14.9	144 8.6 76 4 0.2 6 834 49.9 440 12 0.7 5 34 2.0 151 2 0.1 1 10 0.6 12 1673 100.0 1766 318 19.1 146 219 13.2 469 871 52.4 305 6 0.4 10 247 14.9 777

Vorichles under study	Multiple	drug abusers	Single dr	Single drug abusers		
Variables under study	No.	%	No.	%		
i) Reported reason for current drug use *						
Curiosity	673	44.7	257	31.1		
Peer influence/To identify with peers	641	42.6	463	56.1		
Relief of boredom/ Depression/Anxiety	353	23.4	286	34.6		
For self-medication	49	3.3	22	2.7		
Avoid discomfort of its absence	655	43.5	. 89	10.8		
To seek euphoria or	495	32.9	239	28.9		
sensory satisfaction Under influence of	12	0.8	10	1.2		
the partner	41	2.7	25	2.0		
Other reasons	41	2.7	25	3.0		
Overall	1506	100.0	826	100.0		
) Age of first abuse						
Under 15	529	32.2	274	17.4		
15 - 19	849	51.6	642	40.8		
20 - 24 25 - 29	191 53	11.6 3.2	408 158	25.9 10.0		
30 - 34	16	1.0	66	4.2		
35 - 39	4	0.2	14	0.9		
40 & over	2	0.1	12	0.8		
Total	1644	100.0	1574	100.0		
Mean age of first abuse	16.5	*	19.4			
,						

Variables under study	Multipl	e drug abusers	Single drug abus	
	No.	%	No.	%
(k) Duration of drug use				
Less than 1 year	44			
1 - 2 years	1	2.7	436	27.7
3 - 4 years	170	10.3	480	30.5
5 - 6 years	203	12.3	249	15.8
7 - 9 years	182	11.1	132	8.4
10 - 14 years	177	10.8	143	9.1
15 - 19 years	154	9.4	77	4.9
20 - 29 years	282	17.2	35	2.2
30 years or more	284	17.3	15	1.0
	148	9.0	7	0.4
Total	1644	100.0		
	1044	100.0	1574	100.0
Average duration (years)	12.2			
	13.3		3.5	
Usual daily expenditure on				
drug abuse (\$)				
Under 50	96			
50 - 99	127	7.3	142	39.2
100 - 199		9.7	87	24.0
200 - 299	433 356	32.9	76	21.0
300 - 399	186	27.1	25	6.9
400 - 499		14.1	20	5.5
500 and over	56	4.3	5	1.4
	62	4.7	7	1.9
Total	1316	100.0		
A : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1310	100.0	362	100.0
Average expenditure (\$)	209.4		04.2	
			94.2	
				10
1				
		,		
	- 42 -			1 13

'ariables under study		ı	Single drug abusers		
	No.	%	No.	%	
Reporting History to the CRDA					
ime since first reported #					
ess than 1 year	209	13.4	218	28.7	
	131	8.4	140	18.4	
	90	5.8	108	14.2	
	119	7.6	89	11.7	
	96	6.2	68	8.9	
	199	12.8	86	11.3	
	536	34.4	46	6.1	
1	176	11.3	5	0.7	
Γotal	1556	100.0	760	100.0	
Mean time lag (years)	9.2		3.3		
Agency ever contacted *					
Delies	1/137	84.5	1239	69.4	
				17.9	
				5.:	
				3.:	
			6	0	
	200	1	72	4.	
			11	0.	
			16	0.	
	1		50	2.	
	1		74	4.	
		18.9	494	27.	
	110	6.5	38	2.	
Overall	1701	100.0	1785	100.	
	Cime since first reported # Less than 1 year 1 - less than 2 years 2 - less than 3 years 3 - less than 4 years 4 - less than 5 years 5 - less than 10 years 10 - less than 20 years 20 years or more Total Mean time lag (years) Agency ever contacted * Police CSD Methadone clinics SARDA SRO PS33 St. Stephen's Society Wu Oi Christian Centre Other treatment agencies SWD Outreaching and youth services Hospital and clinics	209	209 13.4 1.4 1.4 1.5	209 13.4 218 - less than 2 years 131 8.4 140 - less than 3 years 90 5.8 108 - less than 4 years 90 5.8 108 - less than 4 years 119 7.6 89 - less than 5 years 96 6.2 68 - less than 10 years 199 12.8 86 - less than 20 years 536 34.4 46 - 20 years or more 176 11.3 5 - Total 1556 100.0 760 - Mean time lag (years) 9.2 3.3 - Agency ever contacted * - Police	

Appendix III

Variables under study	Multiple	drug abusers	Single drug abusers		
	No.	%	No.	%	
(o) Agency first contacted					
Police CSD Methadone clinics SARDA SRO PS33 St. Stephen's Society Wu Oi Christian Centre Other treatment agencies SWD Outreaching and youth services Hospital and clinics	742 71 449 84 9 16 4 18 20 14 267 7	43.6 4.2 26.4 4.9 0.5 0.9 0.2 1.1 1.2 0.8 15.7 0.4	1103 53 43 15 1 35 3 4 21 9 476 22	61.8 3.0 2.4 0.8 0.1 2.0 0.2 0.2 1.2 0.5 26.7	
Total	1701	100.0	1785	100.0	

Notes: All figures are based on reports with the specific characteristic known.

CSD: Correctional Services Department

SARDA: The Society for the Aid and Rehabilitation of Drug Abusers SRO: The Society for the Rehabilitation of Offenders, Hong Kong

PS33: Hong Kong Christian Service PS33

SWD: Social Welfare Department * : Multiple answers are allowed.

: Psychotropic substance abusers who had been reported to the CRDA only once are excluded.

- : Nil